

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/986,613

Applicant(s)

SATO, TOMOYA

Examiner

Maureen M. Wallenhorst

Art Unit

1743

All Participants:

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(2) Todd Rands (Appl. Rep.).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 December 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 9, 13, 16, 22, 24, 37, 39, 41, 42, 43, 45, 47, 52-55

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MAUREEN M. WALLENHORST

PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 100 1700

Maureen M. Wallenhorst
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature -- if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Various different changes in the claims were proposed to Applicant's Representative in order to provide further clarification and to place the claims in condition for allowance. Applicant's Representative will contact Applicant to see whether proposed changes are acceptable or not. .